

April 11, 1988

Wayne Kaplan, Esq. Senior Attorney Bureau of Competition Federal Trade Commission 6th Street & Pennsylvania Ave., 800 250 74 (h) of the Clepton 400 Washington, D. C. 20580

This excerts: we be outlied by the confidentiality panified of which restricts release under the Freedom of Information Act ::

Re: Subsection 7A(c)(4) of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 (the "Act")

Dear Wayne:

Pursuant to our conversation on March 8, 1988, I am seeking your written confirmation of the position of the Federal Trade Commission (the "FTC") with respect to the exemption from the requirements of the Act provided by subsection 7A(c)(4) of the Act (15 U.S.C. §18a(c)(4)) for "political subdivisions" of a state as applied to

As you may recall, my inquiry arose because distinguishes "municipal corporations" political subsidivions of the concerned that the exemption from the requirements of the Act afforded by subsection 7A(c)(4) of the Act might not be available to You verbally represented that a transaction involving can use the subsection 7A(c)(4) can use the subsection 7A(c)(4) exemption since the FTC views entities similar to political subdivisions of a state, notwithstanding such entities' label under state law. Your decision was based upon a brief description of the following facts.

Wayne Kaplan, Esq. April 11, 1988 Page 2

is operating under a municipal charter adopted amended as authorized by Article XI, Section 5, of the Constitution of the Under the and all other incorporated towns and villages are defined as In contrast, counties are defined as "legal subdivisions of the State". Regardless of this distinction, is empowered and required by law to levy and collect property taxes, sales taxes and other forms of taxes to support its public governmental and proprietary functions and is deemed to be a "political subdivision of the state" under the provisions of Furthermore, law provides Charter, and ordinances (as adopted by the City that 1 Council es permitted by its Charter and by applicable mand statutory provisions), have the force of law, and the City Council commercial is empowered to exercise legislative functions as a governmental body.

The context in which my question about status arises concerns The as well as the are owned and the is operated by the staff of which is under the supervision of the City Council, Mayor and City Manager. of an undivided 16% interest in the a two-unit construction was commenced in the nterest is as well as its entire is owned directly and not by a separate corporation or other entity owned or controlled by

level, but the issued a full power license for Unit 1 of and Unit 1 of and Unit 1 of commercial operation pursuant to such license has participated in the construction of pursuant to a joint ownership Participation Agreement with

amended. Lurrently owns an undivided 30.8% interest in and is the Project Manager responsible for planning, construction and operation of under the terms of such Participation Agreement.

have been engaged in litigation (the since 1983 involving claims

54472

Wayne Kaplan, Esq. April 11, 1988 Page 3

Agreement and the planning and construction of Effective as of March 17, 1988, entered into a Settlement Agreement providing for settlement of the itigation and other disputed claims between such parties, subject to satisfaction of various conditions to closing such as, for example, approval of the approval of the anticipated that the conditions to closing of the settlement pursuant to the Settlement Agreement will be satisfied prior to September 1, 1988, and that the closing will occur promptly after such conditions are satisfied.

Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, at the 11 convey to closing ntire 16% interest related lands and properties and will release its ains in the litigation. In return, at the litigation of an undivided claims agains closing later like conveyed and the remaining one half effective January 1, 1990) in kistino #d and operated by generating capacity to be conveyed b rated at 400 megawatts, equal to the rated generating interest in Additionally, at the capacity of Ill make cash payments to ggregating closing approximately \$135 million. (The exact amount of such payments is dependent upon the date of closing and the amount of investments is after September 1, 1987; and the amount thereof is expected to increase above such estimated sum if the closing is deferred beyond June 1, 1988.) If the Settlement Agreement with the closed, the interest in to be conveyed by the the closing, and the cash payments to be made by the such closing, will be conveyed and paid to and owned directly i

Pursuant to these facts, I would like your written assurance of the FTC's view that the state of purposes of the exemption from the requirements of the Act provided by subsection 7A(c)(4) of the Act.

Thank you for your helpful assistance and advice.

